

Uniqueness Theorem for Static Black Hole Solutions of σ -models in Higher Dimensions

Marek Rogatko
Institute of Physics
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University
20-031 Lublin, pl.Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1, Poland
rogat@tytan.umcs.lublin.pl
rogat@kft.umcs.lublin.pl
(February 7, 2008)

We prove the uniqueness theorem for self-gravitating non-linear σ -models in higher dimensional spacetime. Applying the positive mass theorem we show that Schwarzschild-Tagherlini spacetime is the only maximally extended, static asymptotically flat solution with non-rotating regular event horizon with a constant mapping.

Nowadays, much effort is being devoted to the study of mathematical topics related to the black hole equilibrium states. The pioneering investigations in this field were attributed to Israel [1], Müller zum Hagen *et al.* [2] and Robinson [3]. The alternative approach to the problem of the uniqueness of black hole solutions was proposed by Bunting and Masood-ul-Alam [16] and then strengthened to the Einstein-Maxwell (EM) black holes [5,6]. Heusler [7] comprised the magnetically charged RN solution and static Einstein- σ -model case [8]. Recently the classification of static vacuum black holes was finished [9]. The condition of non-degeneracy of the event horizon was removed and it was shown that Schwarzschild black hole exhausted the family of all appropriately regular black hole spacetimes. In [10] it was revealed that RN solution comprised the family of regular black hole spacetimes under the restrictive condition that all degenerate components of black hole horizon carried a charge of the same sign.

The uniqueness of stationary and axisymmetric black hole spacetimes being the solution of vacuum Einstein equations were achieved in [11–14], while the systematic way of obtaining the desired results in electromagnetic case was conceived by Mazur [15] and Bunting [16]. For a review of the uniqueness of black hole solutions story see [17] and references therein.

Nowadays, there has been an active period of constructing black hole solutions in the string theories (see [18] and references therein). In the low-energy string theory (Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton gravity) the uniqueness of the black holes was established in [19–21]. The uniqueness theorem for static dilaton $U(1)^2$ black holes being the solution of $N = 4, d = 4$ supergravity was provided in [22] while the proof of the uniqueness theorem for static $U(1)^N$ dilaton black holes was established in [23].

The development of string theory also triggered the interests in higher dimensional black hole solutions. Another theoretical challenge is the possibility that the weak scale is the fundamental scale of nature and the Planck scale is to be derived from it [24]. The development of the so-called TeV gravity attracts attention to higher dimensional black hole which may be produced in high energy experiments [25]. Thus in order to predict the phenomenological results one should pay more attention to properties of higher dimensional black holes.

The five-dimensional stationary vacuum black hole are not unique, Myers-Perry [26] solution generalizes the Kerr solution to arbitrary dimension, while Emparan *et al.* [27] presents a counterexample. They found a five-dimensional rotating black hole ring solution with the same angular momentum and mass but the horizon of which was homeo-

morphic to $S^2 \times S^1$. On the other hand, in static spacetime the uniqueness theorem for n -dimensional Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black hole was given by Gibbons *et al.* [28] and the proof of the uniqueness theorem for asymptotically flat static n -dimensional charged dilaton black hole was given in [29].

In this issue we shall consider the simplest generalization of the n -dimensional static vacuum solution, namely we shall consider the static n -dimensional black hole solution of Einstein equations coupled to n -dimensional σ -model with harmonic action. One shall try to proof the uniqueness theorem for static n -dimensional black hole solutions in the underlying theory. In our proof we use the Bunting approach and in the last part conclusions given in [28]. The action describing n -dimensional self-gravitating σ -model yield:

$$I = \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \left[{}^{(n)}R - \frac{1}{2} G_{AB}(\phi(x)) \phi_{,\mu}^A \phi_{,\nu}^B \right], \quad (1)$$

while the energy-momentum tensor for σ -model is as follows:

$$T_{\mu\nu}(\phi) = G_{AB}(\phi(x)) \phi_{,\mu}^A \phi_{,\nu}^B - \frac{1}{2} G_{AB}(\phi(x)) \phi_{,\xi}^A \phi^{B,\xi} g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2)$$

The equation of motion derived by means of the variational principle yield

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi^A + \Gamma_{BC}^A \phi_{,\mu}^B \phi^{C,\mu} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$${}^{(n)}G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}(\phi). \quad (4)$$

In our considerations we shall assume that one has to do with a non-rotating black hole with strictly stationary domain of outer communication $<< J >>$ defined as in [17]. By this statement one understands that the null generators of the event horizon will coincide with a Killing vector field k_μ and Killing vector field is timelike in all domain of outer communication. The non-degenerate Killing horizon will be obtained if we consider the existence of a compact bifurcation surface in the spacetime under consideration. It turned out that if we deal with an asymptotically flat, globally hyperbolic spacetime with a compact bifurcation surface and strictly stationary, simply connected domain of outer communication $<< J >>$, i.e., $V = -k_\mu k^\mu \geq 0$, then $<< J >>$ is static iff the following is satisfied [17]:

$$\omega_\alpha = 0 \Leftrightarrow k^\gamma R_{\gamma[\alpha} k_{\rho]} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where we have introduced the rotation vector $\omega_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} k^\beta \nabla^\gamma k^\delta$. We shall also require that the field ϕ obey the stationarity condition with respect to the Killing vector field k_μ , namely

$$\mathcal{L}_k \phi = 0. \quad (6)$$

Having the above in mind and using equation of motion we get that $R_{\alpha\beta} k^\alpha = 0$. Thus, the rotation vector defined by relation (5) is equal to zero.

In the domain of outer communication $<< J >>$ the metric of n -dimensional static spacetime has the following form:

$$ds^2 = -V^2 dt^2 + g_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (7)$$

where V and g_{ij} are independent of the t -coordinate as the quantities of the hypersurface Σ of constant t . Let us assume further that in asymptotically flat spacetime there is a standard coordinates system in which we have the usual asymptotic expansion

$$V = 1 - \frac{C}{r^{n-3}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}}\right), \quad (8)$$

and

$$g_{ij} = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n-3} \frac{C}{r^{n-3}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}}\right), \quad (9)$$

where C is the ADM mass seen by the observer from the infinity, while $r^2 = x_i x^i$. The static Einstein equations and the equations for self-gravitating harmonic mappings on (Σ, g_{ij}) imply

$$^{(n-1)}R_{ij}(g) - \frac{1}{V} {}^{(g)}\nabla_i {}^{(g)}\nabla_j V = G_{AB}(\phi(x)) \phi_{,i}^A \phi_{,j}^B, \quad (10)$$

$$^{(n-1)}R(g) - \frac{2}{V} {}^{(g)}\nabla_i {}^{(g)}\nabla^i V = G_{AB}(\phi(x)) \phi_{,i}^A \phi^{B,i}, \quad (11)$$

$$V {}^{(g)}\nabla_i {}^{(g)}\nabla^i V = 0, \quad (12)$$

where ${}^{(g)}\nabla$ is the covariant derivative with respect to g_{ij} while $^{(n-1)}R_{ij}(g)$ is the Ricci tensor defined on the hypersurface Σ . In order to apply the positive mass theorem in our uniqueness proof one should paste together two copies of Σ , i.e., Σ_+ and Σ_- with boundaries $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$ and metrics given by

$$\tilde{g}_{ij}^\pm = \Omega_\pm^2 g_{ij}, \quad (13)$$

and the conformal factors $\Omega_\pm$ in the forms as follows:

$$\Omega_\pm = \left(\frac{1 \pm V}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-3}}. \quad (14)$$

Pasting Σ_+ and Σ_- across the horizon for which $V = 0$ we can construct a complete regular hypersurface $(\Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_- \cup \mathcal{P}, \tilde{g}_{ij})$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the point at infinity. Moreover, one can show that $\Omega_\pm$ vanishes nowhere in Σ and $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ is extendible to $\Sigma_- \cup \mathcal{P}$.

Using the asymptotical expansion for g_{ij} and V , one gets immediately [28]

$$\tilde{g}_{ij}^+ = \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}}\right), \quad (15)$$

and for the behaviour on Σ^- hypersurface we obtain

$$\tilde{g}_{ij}^- dx^i dx^j = \frac{(C/2)^{\frac{4}{n-3}}}{r^4} (dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{n-3}^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^5}\right). \quad (16)$$

The asymptotic behaviour of $\tilde{g}_{ij}^+$ provides that Σ_+ is asymptotically flat and has vanishing mass.

The next task is to show that the Ricci scalar $\tilde{R}_{ij}(\tilde{g})$ is non-negative. The conformally scaled Ricci curvature has the form

$$^{(n-1)}\tilde{R}(\tilde{g}) = \frac{^{(n-1)}R(g)}{\Omega^2} - \frac{4(n-2)}{\Omega^2(n-3)} \frac{{}^{(g)}\nabla_i {}^{(g)}\nabla^i V}{(1+V)}. \quad (17)$$

Using equations of motion for the underlying theory one gets

$$^{(n-1)}\tilde{R}(\tilde{g}) = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} G_{AB}(\phi(x)) \phi_{,i}^A \phi^{B,i}. \quad (18)$$

From relation (18) one can see that the Ricci tensor $^{(n-1)}\tilde{R}(\tilde{g})$ on the hypersurface Σ is manifestly non-negative. Equation (18) depicts one more fact, namely that the scalar field ϕ should be constant.

As a consequence the manifold $(\Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_- \cup \mathcal{P}, \tilde{g}_{ij})$ satisfies the conditions to apply the positive mass theorem [32]. This implies in turn that $(\Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_- \cup \mathcal{P}, \tilde{g}_{ij})$ is isometric to flat manifold. Thus we can rewrite g_{ij} in a conformally flat form [28]

$$g_{ij} = \mathcal{U}^{\frac{4}{n-3}} \delta_{ij}, \quad (19)$$

where $\mathcal{U} = \frac{2}{1+V}$.

The rest of the uniqueness proof proceeds exactly as in the vacuum case so for the readers' convenience we shall outline the main points of it. Namely, using relation (19) one can show that the Einstein- σ model equations of motion reduces to the Laplace equation on the $(n-1)$ Euclidean manifold, namely

$$\nabla_i \nabla^i \mathcal{U} = 0, \quad (20)$$

where ∇ is the connection on a flat manifold. Applying the expression for the base space

$$\delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j = \tilde{\rho}^2 d\mathcal{U}^2 + \tilde{h}_{AB} dx^A dx^B, \quad (21)$$

and having in mind that the event horizon is located at $\mathcal{U} = 2$, one can show that the embedding of $\mathcal{H}$ into the Euclidean $(n-1)$ space is totally umbilical [31]. This embedding must be hyperspherical, i.e., each of the connected components of the horizon $\mathcal{H}$ is a geometric sphere with a certain radius determined by the value of $\rho|_{\mathcal{H}}$, where ρ is the coordinate which can be introduced on Σ as follows:

$$g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = \rho^2 dV^2 + h_{AB} dx^A dx^B.$$

It turns out that one can always locate one connected component of the horizon at $r = r_0$ surface without loss of generality. Thus we have a boundary value problem for the Laplace equation on the base space $\Omega = E^{n-1}/B^{n-1}$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition $\mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{H}} = 2$ and the asymptotic decay condition $\mathcal{U} = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^{n-3}}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2$ be two solutions of the boundary value problem. Using the Green identity and integrating over the volume element one gets

$$\left(\int_{r \rightarrow \infty} - \int_{\mathcal{H}} \right) (\mathcal{U}_1 - \mathcal{U}_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\mathcal{U}_1 - \mathcal{U}_2) dS = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (\mathcal{U}_1 - \mathcal{U}_2)|^2 d\Omega. \quad (22)$$

Then, having in mind the boundary condition the left-hand side vanishes, and one has that two solutions must be identical.

In conclusion we obtain the following theorem:

Let ϕ be a stationary mapping with harmonic action. The only black hole solution with regular, non-rotating event horizon in the asymptotically flat, strictly stationary domain of outer communication is Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black hole solution with a constant mapping ϕ .

Acknowledgements:

MR was supported in part by KBN grant 5 P03B 009 21.

- [1] Israel W 1967 Phys.Rev **164** 1776,
Israel W 1968 Commun.Math.Phys **8** 245.
- [2] Müller zum Hagen H, Robinson C. D, and Seifert H.J 1973 Gen.Rel.Grav. **4** 53,
Müller zum Hagen H, Robinson C.D, and Seifert H.J 1974 Gen.Rel.Grav. **5** 61.
- [3] Robinson C.D 1977 Gen.Rel.Grav. **8** 695.
- [4] Bunting G.L and Masood-ul-Alam A.K.M 1987 Gen.Rel.Grav. **19** 147.
- [5] Ruback P 1988 Class.Quantum Grav. **5** L155.
- [6] Masood-ul-Alam A.K.M 1992 Class.Quantum Grav. **9** L53.
- [7] Heusler M 1994 Class.Quantum Grav. **11** L49.
- [8] Heusler M 1993 Class.Quantum Grav. **10** 791.
- [9] Chruściel P.T 1999 Class.Quantum Grav. **16** 661.
- [10] Chruściel P.T 1999 Class.Quantum Grav. **16** 689.
- [11] Carter B 1973 in *Black Holes*, edited by DeWitt C and DeWitt B.S (Gordon and Breach, New York).
- [12] Carter B 1987 in *Gravitation and Astrophysics*, edited by Carter B and Hartle J.B (Plenum Press, New York).
- [13] Robinson C.D 1975 Phys.Rev.Lett. **34** 905.
- [14] Wald R.M 1971 Phys.Rev.Lett. **26** 1653.
- [15] Mazur P.O 1982 J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. **15** 3173,
Mazur P.O 1984 Phys.Lett.A **100** 341,
Mazur P.O 1984 Gen.Rel.Grav **16** 211.
- [16] Bunting G.L 1983, PHD thesis, Univ.of New England, Armidale N.S.W.
- [17] Mazur P.O 2001 *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems Preprint* hep-th/0101012,
Heusler M 1997 *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- [18] Youm D 1999 Phys.Reports **316** 1.
- [19] Masood-ul-Alam A.K.M 1993 Class.Quantum Grav. **10** 2649.
- [20] Gürses M and Sermutlu E 1995 Class.Quantum Grav. **12** 2799.
- [21] Mars M and Simon W 2001 *On Uniqueness of Static Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton Black Holes Preprint* gr-qc/0105023.
- [22] Rogatko M 1999 Phys.Rev.D **59**104010.
- [23] Rogatko M 2002 Class.Quantum Grav **19** 875.
- [24] Arkani-Hamed N, Dimopoulos S and Dvali G 1998 Phys.Lett.B **429** 263,
Antoniadis I, Arkani-Hamed N, Dimopoulos S and Dvali G 1998 Phys.Lett.B **436** 257.
- [25] Giddings S.B and Thomas S 2001 *High Energy Colliders as Black Hole Factories: the End of Short Distance Physics* hep-ph/0106219,
Giddings S.B 2001 *Black Hole Production in TeV-scale Gravity, and the Future of High Energy Physics* hep-ph/0110127,
Giddings S.B 2002 *Black Holes in the Lab* hep-th/0205205.
- [26] Myers R.C and Perry M.J 1986 Ann.Phys. **172** 304.
- [27] Emparan R and Reall H.S 2002 Phys.Rev.Lett. **88** 101101.
- [28] Gibbons G.W, Ida D and Shiromizu T 2002 *Uniqueness and Non-uniqueness of Static Vacuum Black Holes in Higher Dimensions* gr-qc/0203004.
- [29] Gibbons G.W, Ida D and Shiromizu T 2002 *Uniqueness and Non-uniqueness of Static Black Holes in Higher Dimensions* gr-qc/0206049.
- [30] Tangherlini F.R 1963 Nuovo Cimento **77** 636.
- [31] Kobayashi S and Nomizu K 1969 *Foundations of Differential Geometry* (New York: Interscience Publishers).
- [32] Schoen R and Yau S-T 1979 Commun.Math.Phys. **65** 45,
Witten E 1981 Commun.Math.Phys. **80** 381.